

HyNet North West

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT (VOLUME III)

Appendix 15.3 Noise and Vibration Assessment Results (Tracked Change)

HyNet Carbon Dioxide Pipeline DCO

Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 –
Regulations 5(2)(a)

Document Reference Number D.6.3.15.3

Applicant: Liverpool Bay CCS Limited

Inspectorate Reference: EN070007

English Version

REVISION: **AB**

DATE: March 2023

DOCUMENT OWNER: WSP UK Limited

PUBLIC

QUALITY CONTROL

Issue/Revision		First Issue	Revision 1	Revision 2	Revision 3
Document Reference		D.6.3.15.3			
Revision		A			
Author Name and Sign		LW			
Approver Name and Sign		EO			
Document Owner		WSP			
<u>Revision</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Comments</u>	<u>Author</u>	<u>Approver</u>	
<u>A</u>	<u>September 2022</u>	<u>Submitted with DCO application</u>	<u>LW</u>	<u>EO</u>	
<u>B</u>	<u>March 2023</u>	<u>Updated for ES Addendum design change request 1</u>	<u>LW</u>	<u>EO</u>	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. NOISE	1
1.1. Construction	1
1.2. Vibration	13
1. NOISE	1
1.1. Construction	1
1.2. Vibration	16

TABLES

Table 1 – Magnitude of Construction Noise Impact – Indicative Route	2
Table 2 – Magnitude of Construction Noise Impact – Route near North West Boundary	2
Table 3 – Magnitude of Construction Noise Impact – Route near South East Boundary	3
Table 4 – Predicted Noise Levels – Indicative Newbuild Carbon Dioxide Pipeline Route	3
Table 5 – Predicted Noise Levels – Route near North West Boundary	4
Table 6 – Predicted Noise Levels – Route near South East Boundary	4
Table 8 – 2021 and 2025 Road Traffic Noise Levels Comparison	7
Table 9 – 2025 Road Traffic Basic Noise Levels Comparison	10
Table 10 – Predicted Mitigated Construction Noise Levels at Biodiversity Receptors	15
Table 11 – Number of Receptors during Decommissioning	16
Table 12 – Peak Particle Velocity During Vibratory Piling	16
Table 13 – Peak Particle Velocity During Ground Compaction	17
<u>Table 1 - Magnitude of Construction Noise Impact – Indicative Route</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Table 2 - Magnitude of Construction Noise Impact – Route near North West Boundary</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Table 3 - Magnitude of Construction Noise Impact – Route near South East Boundary</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Table 4 - Predicted Noise Levels – Indicative Newbuild Carbon Dioxide Pipeline Route</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Table 5 - Predicted Noise Levels – Route near North West Boundary</u>	<u>4</u>

<u>Table 6 - Predicted Noise Levels – Route near South East Boundary</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Table 8 - 2025 Road Traffic Basic Noise Levels Comparison</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Table 9 - Predicted Mitigated Construction Noise Levels at Biodiversity Receptors</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Table 10 - Number of Receptors during Decommissioning</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Table 11 - Peak Particle Velocity During Vibratory Piling.....</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Table 12 - Peak Particle Velocity During Ground Compaction</u>	<u>17</u>

1. NOISE

1.1. CONSTRUCTION

CONSTRUCTION NOISE MODEL RESULTS

This section presents the results of the noise predictions for the Construction Stage of the DCO Proposed Development.

[This Revision B of Appendix 15.3: Noise and Vibration Assessment Results replaces and supersedes Revision A \(APP-146\). Appendix 15.3 \(Revision B\) provides updated baseline information in response to the proposed design changes as outlined in Table i.i of Chapter I of the ES Addendum 2023 Change Request 1.](#)

As discussed in **Chapter 15 – Noise and Vibration (Volume II)**, a noise model was produced using CadnaA software. The following activities have been included in the model:

- Open cut trenches: daytime only;
- Trenchless crossings: daytime, evening and night-time;
- Compounds: daytime only;
- AGIs and BVSs: daytime only; and
- Access locations for heavy vehicles: daytime only.

Open cut trenches have been modelled in three potential locations in order to predict the potential variation in noise impact. The following scenarios were modelled:

- Indicative Newbuild Carbon Dioxide Pipeline route, as presented in **Figure 3.2 DCO Proposed Development (Volume IV)**;
- Indicative Newbuild Carbon Dioxide Pipeline route near the north west part of the Newbuild Infrastructure Boundary, therefore closer to noise sensitive receptors located north west of the boundary; and
- Indicative Newbuild Carbon Dioxide Pipeline route near the south east part of the Newbuild Infrastructure Boundary, therefore closer to noise sensitive receptors located south east of the boundary.

Table 1, **Table 2**, and **Table 3** present the number of noise sensitive receptors subject to a negligible to high magnitude of impact in accordance with the criteria presented in **Table 15.14 in Chapter 15 – Noise and Vibration (Volume II)**. The tables show the number of receptors for both unmitigated and mitigated scenarios.

Table 1 - Magnitude of Construction Noise Impact – Indicative Route

Magnitude of Impact	Number of Receptors – Unmitigated			Number of Receptors – Mitigated		
	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night
Negligible	<u>9221087</u>	<u>19562431</u>	<u>15561851</u>	<u>28042858</u>	<u>32943403</u>	<u>28043057</u>
Low	<u>21051991</u>	<u>809685</u>	<u>468533</u>	<u>955956</u>	<u>313271</u>	<u>504379</u>
Medium	<u>503502</u>	<u>606366</u>	<u>675638</u>	<u>5137</u>	<u>163131</u>	<u>267202</u>
High	<u>328276</u>	<u>487374</u>	<u>1159834</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>8851</u>	<u>283218</u>

Table 2 - Magnitude of Construction Noise Impact – Route near North West Boundary

Magnitude of Impact	Number of Receptors – Unmitigated			Number of Receptors – Mitigated		
	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night
Negligible	<u>9191016</u>	<u>19772315</u>	<u>15561779</u>	<u>27832826</u>	<u>32893329</u>	<u>27912968</u>
Low	<u>20712034</u>	<u>773710</u>	<u>485499</u>	<u>985949</u>	<u>308299</u>	<u>504372</u>
Medium	<u>524513</u>	<u>590410</u>	<u>633657</u>	<u>6759</u>	<u>172168</u>	<u>263228</u>
High	<u>344293</u>	<u>518421</u>	<u>1184921</u>	<u>2322</u>	<u>8960</u>	<u>303288</u>

Table 3 - Magnitude of Construction Noise Impact – Route near South East Boundary

Magnitude of Impact	Number of Receptors – Unmitigated			Number of Receptors – Mitigated		
	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night
Negligible	<u>9371064</u>	<u>19882332</u>	<u>15941819</u>	<u>27932861</u>	<u>33403429</u>	<u>28323028</u>
Low	<u>21022004</u>	<u>787688</u>	<u>479491</u>	<u>973919</u>	<u>273243</u>	<u>526416</u>
Medium	<u>490487</u>	<u>619465</u>	<u>613646</u>	<u>7355</u>	<u>137130</u>	<u>224191</u>
High	<u>329301</u>	<u>464371</u>	<u>1172900</u>	<u>1921</u>	<u>10854</u>	<u>276221</u>

Table 4, Table 5 and Table 6 present a range of predicted noise levels associated with the three open trench routes modelled for both unmitigated and mitigated scenarios. The values shown in the tables correspond to façade noise levels at a height of 4m for receptors within the Study Area. Values for evening and night-time relate to associated variations in the locations for trenchless crossings.

Table 4 - Predicted Noise Levels – Indicative Newbuild Carbon Dioxide Pipeline Route

Magnitude of Impact	Unmitigated Central LAeq dB			Mitigated Central LAeq dB		
	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night
Negligible	<u>15 – 65 – 15</u>	<u>9 – 59 – 9</u>	<u>9 – 57 – 9</u>	<u>15 – 63 – 15</u>	<u>9 – 59 – 9</u>	<u>9 – 57 – 9</u>
Low	<u>48 – 70 – 48</u>	<u>43 – 65 – 43</u>	<u>40 – 54 – 40</u>	<u>48 – 70 – 48</u>	<u>42 – 64 – 42</u>	<u>40 – 55 – 40</u>
Medium	<u>65 – 75 – 65</u>	<u>70 – 55 – 69</u>	<u>46 – 60 – 46</u>	<u>71 – 65 – 69</u>	<u>69 – 55 – 68</u>	<u>60 – 45 – 59</u>
High	<u>83 – 70 – 82</u>	<u>83 – 60 – 82</u>	<u>83 – 50 – 82</u>	<u>73 – 70 – 72</u>	<u>73 – 60 – 64</u>	<u>73 – 50 – 72</u>

Table 5 - Predicted Noise Levels – Route near North West Boundary

Magnitude of Impact	Unmitigated South-East Boundary L _{Aeq} dB			Mitigated South-East Boundary L _{Aeq} dB		
	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night
Negligible	64 – 15 – 65	9 – 59 – 9	9 – 57 – 9	63 – 15 – 65	9 – 59 – 9	9 – 57 – 9
Low	52 – 70 – 48	43 – 65 – 43	53 – 42 – 54	69 – 47 – 70	42 – 65 – 42	55 – 40 – 53
Medium	65 – 75 – 65	69.7 – 55 – 70	46 – 60 – 45	72 – 65 – 74	69 – 55 – 68	45 – 60 – 45
High	84 – 70 – 88	84 – 60 – 83	84 – 50 – 83	74 – 70 – 78	60 – 74 – 60	50 – 74 – 50

Table 6 - Predicted Noise Levels – Route near South East Boundary

Magnitude of Impact	Unmitigated North-West Boundary L _{Aeq} dB			Mitigated North-West Boundary L _{Aeq} dB		
	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night
Negligible	15 – 65 – 15	9 – 59 – 9	9 – 57 – 9	15 – 63 – 15	9 – 59 – 9	9 – 57 – 9
Low	48 – 70 – 52	43 – 65 – 43	54 – 43 – 45	70 – 47 – 69	65 – 42 – 59	40 – 55 – 40
Medium	65 – 75 – 65	70 – 55 – 69	45 – 60 – 46	75 – 65 – 70	70 – 55 – 60	45 – 60 – 45
High	88 – 70 – 92	84 – 60 – 86	84 – 50 – 86	78 – 70 – 82	60 – 74 – 60	50 – 74 – 50

Important Areas and Noise Action Planning Priority Areas

Table 7 shows ~~the~~ Noise Important Areas (~~IA~~) where there are noise sensitive receptors likely to experience ~~a significant adverse effect~~ either a ~~medium or a high magnitude of noise impact~~ during the construction period. ~~No~~ However, no significant adverse effects were identified within ~~IA~~ or Noise Action Planning Priority Areas (NAPPAs).

Table 7 - Significant Adverse Effects within IAs

Alignment Variant	Period	NIA ID
		10784
Central Indicative Alignment	Day	0
	Evening	0
	Night	X
North-West Boundary Alignment	Day	0
	Evening	0
	Night	X
South-East Boundary Alignment	Day	0
	Evening	0
	Night	X

Construction Road Traffic Noise

An assessment of the potential noise impact due to road traffic noise impact during the Construction Stage was undertaken. **Table 8 and Table 9** ~~present~~ presents the changes in noise levels predicted for the following scenarios:

- ~~Year 2025 without DCO Proposed Development (2025 Do-Minimum) versus Year 2021 without DCO Proposed Development (2021 Do-Minimum); and~~
- Year 2025 with DCO Proposed Development (2025 Do-Something) versus Year 2025 without DCO Proposed Development (2025 Do-Minimum).

The noise levels presented in the tables correspond to $L_{A10,18hr}$ dB in accordance with guidance in the Calculation of Road Traffic Noise (CRTN). For road links where the road traffic flows are low and outside the scope of CRTN, then noise levels $L_{Aeq,18hr}$ have been compared using guidance in the Advisory Council (1978): A guide to measurement and prediction of sound level L_{eq} .

Road links IDs referred in the tables are described in **Appendix 17-4 Baseline Traffic Data (Volume III)**.

Table 8 – 2021 and 2025 Road Traffic Noise Levels Comparison

Link ID	2021 Do-Minimum					2025 Do-Minimum					Change in Noise Level (dB)
	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (L _{A10} dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (L _{Aeq} dB) at 10m	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (L _{A10} dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (L _{Aeq} dB) at 10m	
1	337	8	4361	68	-	351	7	4545	68	-	0
2	336	7	4657	68	-	351	7	4854	68	-	0
3	26	2	1595	65	-	27	2	1662	65	-	0
4	11	1	1469	64	-	12	1	1531	64	-	0
5	7	2	291	-	50	7	2	303	-	50	0
6	10	1	1595	62	-	10	1	1662	62	-	0
7	302	2	16796	71	-	312	2	17332	71	-	0
8	222	3	7914	68	-	229	3	8167	68	-	0
9	27	0	6310	67	-	28	0	6512	67	-	0
10	10	1	1657	62	-	10	1	1710	62	-	0

Link ID	2021 Do-Minimum					2025 Do-Minimum					Change in Noise Level (dB)
	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (L _{A10} dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (L _{Aeq} dB) at 10m	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (L _{A10} dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (L _{Aeq} dB) at 10m	
11	98	1	8222	66	-	101	1	8484	66	-	0
12	153	1	11760	68	-	158	1	12135	68	-	0
13	238	4	5966	66	-	248	4	6219	66	-	0
14	391	10	3842	65	-	404	10	3965	65	-	0
15	0	0	4684	65	-	0	0	4882	65	-	0
16	7	2	323	-	49	7	2	334	-	49	0
17	1	1	146	-	48	1	1	150	-	48	0
18	6	2	347	-	51	6	2	358	-	51	0
19	232	2	13323	70	-	242	2	13886	71	-	0
21	7	0	1555	62	-	7	0	1604	62	-	0
22	345	6	5320	66	-	356	6	5490	66	-	0

Link ID	2021 Do-Minimum					2025 Do-Minimum					Change in Noise Level (dB)
	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (L _{A10} dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (L _{Aeq} dB) at 10m	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (L _{A10} dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (L _{Aeq} dB) at 10m	
24	4	5	85	-	44	4	5	88	-	44	0
25	432	9	4979	66	-	446	9	5138	66	-	0
26	168	11	1563	66	-	173	11	1613	66	-	0
27	38	2	1590	64	-	39	2	1640	64	-	0
28	111	2	4719	66	-	114	2	4870	66	-	0

Table 8 - 2025 Road Traffic Basic Noise Levels Comparison

Link ID	2025 Do-Minimum					2025 Do-Something					Change in Noise Level (dB)
	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (LA10 dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (LAeq dB) at 10m	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (LA10 dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (LAeq dB) at 10m	
1	351 <u>654</u>	7.5 %	4545 <u>8,682</u>	6871	-	483 <u>684</u>	97.7 %	5205 <u>8,831</u>	6971	-	<u>40</u>
2	351 <u>612</u>	72.4 %	4854 <u>25,085</u>	6876	-	379 <u>656</u>	82.6 %	4994 <u>25,304</u>	6876	-	0
3	27 <u>22</u>	21.5 %	1662 <u>1,475</u>	6566	-	111 <u>42</u>	52.7 %	2082 <u>1,577</u>	66	-	<u>40</u>
4	12 <u>12</u>	40.8 %	1531 <u>1,449</u>	64	-	40 <u>25</u>	21.6 %	1671 <u>1,512</u>	64	-	0
5	7 <u>8</u>	2.6 %	303 <u>304</u>	-60	<u>5049</u>	35 <u>21</u>	85.6 %	443 <u>367</u>	-60	<u>5250</u>	<u>21</u>
6	10 <u>9</u>	40.6 %	1662 <u>1,497</u>	6263	-	62 <u>40</u>	32.4 %	1922 <u>1,654</u>	63	-	<u>40</u>
7	312 <u>256</u>	21.5 %	17332 <u>16,610</u>	7173	-	354 <u>289</u>	21.7 %	17542 <u>16,775</u>	7273	-	<u>40</u>
8	229 <u>24</u>	30.7 %	8167 <u>3,340</u>	6867	-	271 <u>57</u>	31.6 %	8377 <u>3,504</u>	6967	-	<u>40</u>
9	28 <u>23</u>	0.4 %	6512 <u>6,076</u>	6769	-	136 <u>33</u>	20.5 %	7052 <u>6,123</u>	6869	-	<u>40</u>

Link ID	2025 Do-Minimum					2025 Do-Something					Change in Noise Level (dB)
	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (LA10 dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (LAeq dB) at 10m	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (LA10 dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (LAeq dB) at 10m	
10	40 <u>8</u>	40.5 %	1710 <u>1,640</u>	62	-	400 <u>18</u>	51.0 %	2160 <u>1,687</u>	6362	-	40
11	401 <u>86</u>	1.1 %	8484 <u>7,633</u>	6669	-	467 <u>95</u>	1.2 %	8814 <u>7,680</u>	6769	-	40
12	458 <u>130</u>	1.2 %	42135 <u>11,254</u>	6869	-	224 <u>149</u>	21.3 %	12465 <u>11,348</u>	6869	-	0
13	248 <u>226</u>	43.9 %	6219 <u>5,792</u>	66	-	272 <u>235</u>	4.0 %	6339 <u>5,839</u>	66	-	0
14	404 <u>348</u>	409.5 %	3965 <u>3,664</u>	65	-	428 <u>358</u>	409.6 %	4085 <u>3,711</u>	6665	-	40
15	0 <u>514</u>	012.4 %	4882 <u>4,144</u>	6567	-	24 <u>542</u>	012.7 %	5002 <u>4,285</u>	6667	-	40
16	7 <u>6</u>	21.8 %	334 <u>311</u>	-60	49	31 <u>15</u>	74.2 %	454 <u>358</u>	-60	5149	20
17	4 <u>1</u>	40.8 %	150 <u>141</u>	-63	4847	25 <u>11</u>	95.6 %	270 <u>188</u>	-62	5148	31
18	6 <u>6</u>	21.9 %	358 <u>340</u>	-61	5150	58 <u>38</u>	97.6 %	618 <u>497</u>	-60	5152	32

Link ID	2025 Do-Minimum					2025 Do-Something					Change in Noise Level (dB)
	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (LA10 dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (LAeq dB) at 10m	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (LA10 dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (LAeq dB) at 10m	
19	242 <u>228</u>	21.5 %	13886 <u>15,679</u>	7474	-	266 <u>247</u>	21.6 %	14006 <u>15,773</u>	7474	-	0
21	7 <u>4</u>	0.2 %	1604 <u>1,753</u>	6261	-	31 <u>23</u>	1.2 %	1724 <u>1,847</u>	6261	-	0
22	356 <u>7</u>	60.5 %	5490 <u>1,462</u>	6661	-	404 <u>26</u>	71.6 %	5730 <u>1,556</u>	6661	-	0
24	4 <u>273</u>	5.5 %	88 <u>4,991</u>	-66	44 ₋	28 <u>292</u>	145.7 %	204 <u>5,085</u>	-66	49 ₋	50
25	446 <u>12</u>	90.6 %	5138 <u>1,928</u>	6662	-	470 <u>30</u>	91.5 %	5258 <u>2,022</u>	6662	-	0
26	173 <u>3</u>	114.0 %	1613 <u>80</u>	6664	-43	197 <u>22</u>	112.6 %	1733 <u>174</u>	6661	-46	03
27	39 <u>360</u>	27.8 %	1640 <u>4,630</u>	6463	-	63 <u>378</u>	48.0 %	1760 <u>4,724</u>	6563	-	40
<u>28</u>	<u>129</u>	<u>9.0 %</u>	<u>1,423</u>	<u>64</u>	=	<u>147</u>	<u>9.7 %</u>	<u>1,517</u>	<u>64</u>	=	<u>0</u>
<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>2.0 %</u>	<u>1,525</u>	<u>64</u>	=	<u>49</u>	<u>3.0 %</u>	<u>1,619</u>	<u>65</u>	=	<u>1</u>

Link ID	2025 Do-Minimum					2025 Do-Something					Change in Noise Level (dB)
	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (LA10 dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (LAeq dB) at 10m	HGV	HGV (%)	Total	18 Hour Basic Noise Level (LA10 dB) at 10m	18 Hour Noise Level (LAeq dB) at 10m	
<u>30</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>2.1 %</u>	<u>4,612</u>	<u>69</u>	=	<u>114</u>	<u>2.4 %</u>	<u>4,706</u>	<u>69</u>	=	<u>0</u>
<u>31</u>	<u>318</u>	<u>2.2 %</u>	<u>14,696</u>	<u>73</u>	=	<u>351</u>	<u>2.4 %</u>	<u>14,861</u>	<u>73</u>	=	<u>0</u>
<u>32</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>0.1 %</u>	<u>8,159</u>	<u>67</u>	=	<u>42</u>	<u>0.5 %</u>	<u>8,324</u>	<u>67</u>	=	<u>0</u>
<u>33</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1.8 %</u>	<u>284</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>8.3 %</u>	<u>441</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>34</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>0.3 %</u>	<u>2,518</u>	<u>62</u>	=	<u>17</u>	<u>0.7 %</u>	<u>2,565</u>	<u>62</u>	=	<u>0</u>
<u>35</u>	<u>4,853</u>	<u>7.1 %</u>	<u>68,223</u>	<u>80</u>	=	<u>4,872</u>	<u>7.1 %</u>	<u>68,317</u>	<u>80</u>	=	<u>0</u>
<u>36</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>2.3 %</u>	<u>406</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>5.7 %</u>	<u>500</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>37</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2.9 %</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>15.4 %</u>	<u>129</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>283</u> <u>8</u>	<u>114</u> <u>37</u>	<u>20.5 %</u>	<u>4870</u> <u>8,187</u>	<u>66</u>	-	<u>138</u> <u>56</u>	<u>30.7 %</u>	<u>4990</u> <u>8,281</u>	<u>66</u>	-	<u>0</u>

Biodiversity Receptors

Table 409 presents the mitigated construction noise levels predicted at locations representative of biodiversity receptors. A description of the associated receptors and the assessment are presented in **Chapter 9 - Biodiversity (Volume II)**.

Table 409 - Predicted Mitigated Construction Noise Levels at Biodiversity Receptors

Biodiversity Receptor	Noise Level LAeq dB								
	Indicative Route			Route near North West Boundary			Route near South East Boundary		
	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night
B113	64	45 <u>17</u>	45 <u>17</u>	61	22	22	79	34	34
T49	63	46 <u>44</u>	46 <u>44</u>	61	49 <u>46</u>	49 <u>46</u>	68	43 <u>40</u>	43 <u>40</u>
T102	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32
T190	65 <u>6</u> 4	62 <u>57</u>	62 <u>57</u>	67 <u>6</u> 5	64 <u>54</u>	64 <u>54</u>	64 <u>6</u> 0	62 <u>52</u>	62 <u>52</u>
T200	64 <u>5</u> 7	63 <u>48</u>	63 <u>48</u>	64 <u>6</u> 2	56 <u>59</u>	56 <u>59</u>	63	62	62
T220	65	58	58	68	62	62	64	54 <u>50</u>	54 <u>50</u>
T325 – T327	65	54 <u>52</u>	54 <u>52</u>	61	50 <u>51</u>	50 <u>51</u>	73	54 <u>52</u>	54 <u>52</u>
T365	63	< 10	< 10	76	< 10	< 10	60	< 10	< 10
T371	60	57 <u>58</u>	57 <u>58</u>	58	56	56	74	64	64
T111	64 <u>6</u> 5	50 <u>52</u>	50 <u>53</u>	60 <u>6</u> 1	50 <u>52</u>	50 <u>5</u>	82	54 <u>53</u>	54 <u>53</u>
T166	72 <u>6</u> 4	56	56	66 <u>6</u> 3	57 <u>56</u>	57 <u>56</u>	63	56 <u>55</u>	56 <u>55</u>
T321	63	50 <u>49</u>	50 <u>49</u>	74	50 <u>49</u>	50 <u>49</u>	59	49 <u>48</u>	49 <u>48</u>
L5455	71	34 <u>13</u>	34 <u>13</u>	71	33 <u>13</u>	33 <u>13</u>	71	35 <u>13</u>	35 <u>13</u>
L5455	66	35 <u>15</u>	35 <u>15</u>	66	34 <u>15</u>	34 <u>15</u>	66	36 <u>15</u>	36 <u>15</u>
L6455	62 <u>6</u> 1	57 <u>54</u>	57 <u>54</u>	69 <u>6</u> 8	60 <u>55</u>	60 <u>55</u>	60 <u>5</u> 9	55 <u>52</u>	55 <u>52</u>

DECOMMISSIONING

AGI and BVS

Table 4410 presents the number of receptors that would receive either a medium or high magnitude of impact from noise levels during decommissioning of AGIs and BVSs. The receptor experiencing a medium magnitude of impact after mitigation is near the BVS proposed on Cornist Lane, south of Bryn Awel.

Table 4410 - Number of Receptors during Decommissioning

Unmitigated		Mitigated	
Medium	High	Medium	High
132 133	40 39	1	0

1.2.

VIBRATION

CONSTRUCTION

Piling and Ground Compaction

Table 4211 and **Table 4312** present the peak particle velocities predicted for the vibratory piling and ground compaction activities during the Construction Stage. The values correspond to steady state operation.

The predictions presented in the table are presented for a range of distances including the SOAEL and LOAEL defined for human perception in **Chapter 15 – Noise and Vibration (Volume II)**.

No significant sources of vibration are expected during decommissioning.

Table 4211 - Peak Particle Velocity During Vibratory Piling

Distance (m)	Peak Particle Velocity (mm/s)		
	95 % Confidence Level	67 % Confidence Level	50 % Confidence Level
5	27.9	13.2	6.3
10	10.6	5.0	2.4
15	6.0	2.8	1.4
20	4.0	1.9	0.9
25	2.9	1.4	0.7
30	2.3	1.1	0.5
35	1.8	0.9	0.4

Distance (m)	Peak Particle Velocity (mm/s)		
	95 % Confidence Level	67 % Confidence Level	50 % Confidence Level
40	1.5	0.7	0.3
45	1.3	0.6	-
50	1.1	0.5	-
55	1.0	0.5	-
60	0.9	0.4	-
65	0.8	0.4	-
70	0.7	0.3	-
75	0.6	-	-
80	0.6	-	-
85	0.5	-	-
90	0.5	-	-
95	0.5	-	-
100	0.4	-	-
105	0.4	-	-
110	0.4	-	-
115	0.3	-	-

Table 43.12 - Peak Particle Velocity During Ground Compaction

Distance (m)	Peak Particle Velocity (mm/s)		
	95 % Confidence Level	67 % Confidence Level	50 % Confidence Level
5	19.6	10.2	5.3
10	8.5	4.4	2.3
15	5.0	2.6	1.4
20	3.4	1.7	0.9
25	2.5	1.3	0.7
30	1.9	1.0	0.5
35	1.5	0.8	0.4
40	1.3	0.7	0.3
45	1.1	0.6	-

Distance (m)	Peak Particle Velocity (mm/s)		
	95 % Confidence Level	67 % Confidence Level	50 % Confidence Level
50	0.9	0.5	-
55	0.8	0.4	-
60	0.7	0.4	-
65	0.6	0.3	-
70	0.6	-	-
75	0.5	-	-
80	0.5	-	-
85	0.4	-	-
90	0.4	-	-
95	0.4	-	-
100	0.3	-	-